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In California, with a long tradition of growing world-class, high-quality Acala/Upland (*Gossypium hirsutum*) cotton for decades, we have shifted to growing Pima cotton (*G. barbadense*) on over 80% of our cotton acreage.

Most prior UC research experience with nitrogen management has been with Acala varieties. In the past decade, however, we have at least started to look into Pima cotton responses to N management, mostly as side-projects within trials directed toward evaluating cultivar differences or irrigation responses.

With increasing concerns regarding efficiency in use of Nitrogen in crop production, it will become more important to better understand if Pima and Upland cotton differ in N management responses.
Over multiple years, we conducted irrigation trials with different varieties/types of cotton (Pima and Upland/Acala types) and used these same studies to begin to evaluate N uptake (also P and K to a limited degree, but not discussed here)

Some studies done at West Side REC (clay loam) in Fresno Co.

- have done series of deficit and fully irrigated cotton trials with a few Pima and Upland/Acala varieties to compare responses

- the deficit treatments have been produced by reducing amounts of applied water in mid and late season (drip) or by delaying irrigations and eliminating the final irrigation in furrow plots

- all have been what we considered adequately fertilized, with about 145 to 170 lbs N/acre applied (split application in furrow plots, injected in drip plots)

- applied fertilizer amounts have been adjusted for residual soil NO3-N in the upper 2 feet of soil
Fertilizer application patterns used – furrow versus SDI

All plots (furrow and SDI irrigated) received a 200 lbs/ac application of 11-52-0 (for startup N, non-limiting P levels); adjusting for pre-plant soil residual NO3-N in the upper 2 feet

FURROW IRRIGATED PLOTS:

- N fertilizer split into two applications: (1st) applied prior to planting at rate of 50-60 lbs N/ac; and (2nd) applied at rate of 90-110 lbs/ac prior to the first or second within-season irrigation during late squaring (between about 65-80 days after emergence)

SDI IRRIGATED PLOTS:

- applications started early-squaring stage (about 40 dae), increased each week so that 85% of full 140-170 lb/ac target was applied by 100-110 dae (corresponds with peak bloom period). Remainder applied prior to 130 dae (close to vegetative cutout)
Many efforts nationwide over the years (including in CA) have evaluated the utility of cotton petiole NO3-N or leaf blade total N content as predictors of plant nutrient status, and likelihood of responses to supplemental N
Petiole NO3-N Evaluations in CA can be a useful part of feedback information for management.

Most consistent data: typically has been between late squaring & 4 weeks after 1st bloom

- With residual soil NO3-N of >60-70 lbs N/acre top 2 ft, petiole NO3 data had little sensitivity when lint yields <1200 lbs/acre

- At lower residual soil N or with yields > 1400 lbs per acre, utility of petiole data improves

*Observation:

- with split applications or low-rate frequent nutrient applications, particularly with SDI irrigation, lower early bloom petiole NO3-N numbers can still be ok
Cotton – WSREC - 53 lbs N/ac top 3 ft of soil, 40 lbs pre-plant fertilizer

Pima Yields (Furrow = 1840 lbs/acre)

Acala Yields (Furrow = 2011 lbs/acre)
Nitrate (NO3-N) petiole guidelines *(UCCE – Hutmacher) /University of CA*( 2016 version)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Growth Stage</strong></th>
<th><strong>Upland Cotton</strong></th>
<th><strong>Pima Cotton</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Borderline to Deficient</strong></td>
<td><strong>Sufficient Upper Level</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early square</td>
<td>&lt;13-14,000</td>
<td>&gt;17-20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st flower</td>
<td>&lt;10-12,000</td>
<td>14-18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st flower + 10 days</td>
<td>&lt;7000-10,000</td>
<td>12,000-14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak bloom</td>
<td>&lt;3,500-5,500</td>
<td>&gt;7,000-9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early open boll</td>
<td>&lt;1,500-2,000</td>
<td>&gt;3,500-4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15 days after cutout</td>
<td>&lt;750-1,200</td>
<td>&gt;1,500-2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cotton – WSREC - 37 lbs N/ac top 3 ft of soil; 150 lbs total fertilizer N
Pima Yield (SDI = 1848 lbs/acre); 150 lbs N/ac fertigated
Pima Yield (SDI with 60 lbs/ac pre-plant, 90 lbs in-season = 1870 lbs/acre)
Nitrate (NO₃-N) petiole guidelines (UCCE – Hutmacher) /University of CA (2016 version)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth Stage</th>
<th>Upland Cotton</th>
<th>Pima Cotton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Borderline to</td>
<td>Sufficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deficient</td>
<td>Upper Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early square</td>
<td>&lt;13-14,000</td>
<td>&gt;17-20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st flower</td>
<td>&lt;10-12,000</td>
<td>14-18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st flower + 10</td>
<td>&lt;7000-10,000</td>
<td>12,000-14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak bloom</td>
<td>&lt;3,500-5,500</td>
<td>&gt;7,000-9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early open boll</td>
<td>&lt;1,500-2,000</td>
<td>&gt;3,500-4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15 days after</td>
<td>&lt;750-1,200</td>
<td>&gt;1,500-2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cutout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Experiences with fertigated SDI (drip) cotton are that lower end of ranges shown are adequate & higher values in ranges are more typical for split-fertilized furrow irrig cotton.
Leaf N conc (%) as affected by 3 irrigation trts and DAE (average across three expts each Acala and Pima)

Leaf blade % of Upland and Pima tested look similar by growth stage – different than petiole data
Additional questions relate to total plant N uptake? (total above-ground plant uptake) and N removal with harvest (what is taken off the field with harvest?)
Components of Nitrogen Management Plans required at the field level / management unit level

Components of nitrogen management plans include:
- planting dates, yield goals and attained yield
- estimate of residual N
- estimate of N likely to be available from irrigation water, N released from organic matter or manure applications

-One of the potential issues and difficulties with the N Mgmt Plan requirements is to provide sound estimates of

-Nitrogen removed with harvested crop

* As stated .... we have not run N management studies yet directly to estimate N removal, but have some limited data from other trials
NITROGEN UPTAKE above-ground plant parts (lbs/ac) as a function of days after planting – 

Drip Irrigated UPLAND varieties – 2013 DEFICIT IRRIGATION TRTS

Yields Irrig Trt 1 = 2141, 2051 lbs/ac    Irrig Trt 3 = 1502, 1678 lbs/ac

**Early bl=75-80 DAE**
**Peak bl= 95-105**
**Late bl=120-130**

Days after emergence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days after emergence</th>
<th>Phy725RF-Irr 1</th>
<th>Phy725RF-Irr 3</th>
<th>FM2484-Irr 1</th>
<th>FM2484-Irr 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NITROGEN UPTAKE PER 100 lb/ac of LINT YIELD
above-ground plant parts (lb/ac) per 100 lb/ac lint yield –
Drip Irrigated UPLAND varieties – 2013 FULL & DEFICIT IRRIGATION TREATMENTS
Yields Irrig Trt 1 = 2141, 2051 lbs/ac   Irrig Trt 3 = 1502, 1578 lbs/ac
NITROGEN UPTAKE above-ground plant parts (lbs/ac) as a function of days after planting – Drip Irrigated PIMA varieties – 2014 DEFICIT IRRIGATION TREATMENTS

Yields Irrig Trt 1 = 1944, 2031 lbs/ac  Irrig Trt 3 = 1660, 1619 lbs/ac

Days after emergence

- Early bl=75-85 DAE
- Peak bl=100-115
- Late bl=125-135
NITROGEN UPTAKE PER 100 lb/ac of LINT YIELD
above-ground plant parts (lb/ac) per 100 lb/ac lint yield –
Drip Irrigated PI M varieties – 2014 FULL & DEFICIT IRRIGATION TREATMENTS
Yields Irrig Trt 1 = 1887, 1940 lbs/ac   Irrig Trt 3 = 1710, 1679 lbs/ac
NITROGEN UPTAKE – above ground plant parts (lbs/ac) as a function of days after planting – Furrow-Irrigated ACALA and PIMA – 2015

Yields Acala = 1956, 2158 lbs/ac    Pima = 1972, 1839 lbs/ac

Early bl=70 -75 DAE
Peak bl=95-105
Late bl=115-130
NITROGEN UPTAKE PER 100 lb/ac of LINT YIELD
above-ground plant parts (lb/ac) per 100 lb/ac lint yield –
Furrow Irrigated UPLAND AND PIMA varieties – 2014 FULL IRRIGATION TRTS
Yields Acala / Uplands = 2158, 1956 lbs/ac  Pima = 1972, 1839 lbs/ac
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar / Type</th>
<th>Irrigation Level</th>
<th>Irrigation Method</th>
<th>Lint Yield (lb/ac)</th>
<th>N uptake (lb) per 100 lb/ac yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phy-802 Pima</td>
<td>Deficit (60%)</td>
<td>Drip</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Furrow</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Drip</td>
<td>1874</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Furrow</td>
<td>1762</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phy-725 Acala</td>
<td>Deficit</td>
<td>Drip</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Furrow</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Drip</td>
<td>1772</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Furrow</td>
<td>2121</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM-1830 Upl</td>
<td>Deficit</td>
<td>Drip</td>
<td>1501</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Furrow</td>
<td>1416</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Drip</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Furrow</td>
<td>1818</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## DRIP Uplands NS vs Stress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENTRY</th>
<th>DRIP IRRIG TRTS (2013)</th>
<th>YIELD (lbs/acre)</th>
<th>190 DA Emerge HARVEST REMOVAL (lbs Nitrogen/acre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Phy-725RF       | Trt 1 (well watered) – non stressed | 2051 (37 lint % crop) | 127  
3.6% N in seed  
46 lb N/T sc  
31 lbs N/bale |
| Phy-725RF       | Trt 3 (mid-late season delays)  | 1858 (39 lint % crop) | 106  
3.65% N in seed  
44 lbs N/T sc  
29 lbs N/bale |
| FM 2484 B2F     | Trt 1 (well watered) – non stressed | 2141 (38 lint % crop) | 119  
3.41% N in seed  
42 lbs N/T sc  
28 lbs N/bale |
| FM 2484 B2F     | Trt 3 (mid-late delays)         | 1834 (40 lint % crop) | 103  
3.74% N in seed  
41 lbs N/T sc  
28 lbs N/bale |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENTRY</th>
<th>FURROW IRRIG TRTS (2016)</th>
<th>YIELD (lbs/acre)</th>
<th>190 DA Emerge HARVEST REMOVAL (lbs N/acre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phy-764WRF Acala</td>
<td>Trt 1 (well watered)</td>
<td>2094 (41 lint % crop)</td>
<td>113 3.74% N in seed 44 lb-N/T sc 27lbs/bale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phy-764WRF Acala</td>
<td>Trt 2 (late stress)</td>
<td>1892 (39 lint % crop)</td>
<td>106 3.59% N in seed 43 lb N/T sc 28 lbs/bale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phy-764WRF Acala</td>
<td>Trt 3 (mid-late stress)</td>
<td>1354 (42 lint % crop)</td>
<td>67 3.82% N in seed 41 lb N/T sc 25 lbs/bale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phy-802RF Pima</td>
<td>Trt 1 (well watered)</td>
<td>1597 (39 lint % crop)</td>
<td>97 3.88% N in seed 47 lb N/T sc 30 lbs/bale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phy-802RF Pima</td>
<td>Trt 2 (late stress)</td>
<td>1502 (39 lint % crop)</td>
<td>89 3.79% N in seed 46 lb N/T sc 30 lbs/bale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phy-802RF Pima</td>
<td>Trt 3(mid-late stress)</td>
<td>1131 (41 lint % crop)</td>
<td>58 3.55% N in seed 42 lb N/T sc 26 lbs/bale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cotton – UC WSREC studies
N Removal (lbs N/acre) as a function of lint yield, cotton type and irrigation method
**SUMMARY:**
Similarities and Differences Pima versus Uplands

**Petiole NO3-N levels** for sufficiency and borderline deficiency differ markedly between Pima and Uplands (Pima levels about 30-40% lower under same conditions as Uplands)

**Leaf blade total N** concentration ranges of non-stressed, moderate to higher fertility cotton very similar in Pima and Upland cottons

Under mild deficit irrigation and about 3 bale/ac yields, average per-harvest **above-ground N uptake** totaled about 160-185 lbs N/acre

At yield levels about 4 bales+/acre under full irrigation, **average above ground N uptake** totaled about 195-260 lbs/acre, with some tendency toward 5-15% higher uptake in Pima at similar yields
**SUMMARY:**
Similarities and Differences Pima versus Uplands

N uptake per 100 lbs/ac of lint yield is one way to look at the relationship of N to one measure of yield

- In evaluations to date, the ratio was generally a little higher with Pima (about 11.5 -13 vs. 10 – 12.3 for Upland), and generally a little higher with full irrigation than with deficit (11.4 to 13 vs. 12.5 to 14)

N removal with harvest estimates in this limited data set ranged from about 25 lbs/bale to 31 lbs/bale, with no clear differences between Upland and Pima types of cotton in this data.

*Speculation might be that lint percent & seed size differences between cultivars may be greater determinants of N removal per bale of lint than type of cotton alone??*
Studies will continue ... goal is to measure a range of field-determined values for plant N uptake and N per unit yield ... in part to deal with the idea that there is one value that can be applied to all cotton production situations (PIMA versus UPLANDS)

Even with this approach ... consider that there will be ...

CONDITIONS WHERE N MANAGEMENT BECOMES MORE DIFFICULT including:

1. poor fruit retention / particularly if combined with vigorous vegetative growth
2. late-planted, re-planted cotton fields with a tendency toward vegetative growth
3. previous crops heavily fertilized, with high residual N
4. high irrigation water NO3-N
5. Reduced early fertilizer N application with subsequent very good fruit set
6. All these situations promote need for more feedback info to do good N mgmt ... not less feedback
Thank you
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